

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT  
AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

This paper is being submitted  
via EFS-Web on

March 31, 2010

Serial No. : 10/575,661  
Applicants : Kazutoshi OKUBO et al.  
Filed : June 20, 2006  
For : DEVICE FOR RECOVERING  
FEEDSTOCK LIQUID...  
Art Unit : 1797  
Examiner : Huy Tram NGUYEN  
Docket No. : 06263/HG  
Confirm No. : 2555  
Customer No.: 01933

In the event that this Paper is late filed, and the necessary petition for extension of time is not filed concurrently herewith, please consider this as a Petition for the requisite extension of time, and to the extent not already paid, authorization to charge the extension fee to Account No. 06-1378. In addition, authorization is hereby given to charge any fees for which payment has not been submitted, or to credit any overpayments, to Account No. 06-1378.

**RECORD OF SUBSTANCE  
OF INTERVIEW BY APPLICANTS**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**

S I R :

In response to the requirement under 37 CFR 1.133(b) that applicants provide a statement of the substance of the March 31, 2010 telephone interview with the Examiner, applicants provide the following statement:

1. The undersigned discussed with the Examiner the rejection of claims 14, 17, 30 to 31 and 44 to 46 under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Yoshimuta (JP 5-279043) as set forth in paragraph no. 3 on pages 2 to 4 of the December 31, 2009 Office Action.


The Examiner said that applicants' arguments regarding this rejection in the AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.111 filed March 18, 2010 were not persuasive to overcome the rejection.

The Examiner suggested that applicants consider amending claim 14 to recite an apparatus feature (such as valve 79 in Fig. 22), which would provide for the removal of the aqueous ammonia solution from the aqueous solution reservoir to maintain a constant distance between the ends of the dripping nozzles and the surface of the aqueous ammonia solution.

2. The Examiner said that the arguments set forth in the AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.111 filed March 18, 2010 regarding the rejection of claims 13, 40 to 41 and 43 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Yoshimuta (JP 5-279043) in view of Ryota (JP 2000-146993) in paragraph no. 7 on pages 5 to 7 of the December 31, 2009 Office Action presently appear to be persuasive.

The Examiner said that she will conduct a further search to determine if she will withdraw such rejection.

Respectfully submitted,



---

RICHARD S. BARTH  
REG. NO. 28,180

FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, P.C.  
220 FIFTH AVENUE, 16th FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001-7708  
Tel. Nos. (212) 319-4900  
(212) 319-4551/Ext. 219  
Fax No. (212) 319-5101  
E-Mail Address: RBARTH@FHGC-LAW.COM  
RSB/ddf